

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

L	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
	09/259.145	02/26/ 99	FAN	•		P.	3027.1US	
Γ	_		MMC1/0	າຣິດຂ	\neg		EXAMINER	
		JOSEPH A WALKOWSKI				MAI.A		
	TRASK BRIT PO BOX 255					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	SALT LAKE CITY UT 84110		10			2814		
						DATE MAILED:	05/08/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

. 3	Application No.	Applicant(s)							
Advisory Action	09/259,145	PAN ET AL.							
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit							
	Anh D. Mai	2814							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
THE REPLY FILED 12 April 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.									
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]									
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.									
b) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR c whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period mailing date of the final rejection.	ontinues to run from the mailing date of the od for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS	e final rejection, 6 from the							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.									
2. The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upor with requisite fees.	the timely submission of a Notic	ce of Appeal and	Appeal Brief						
3. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:								
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search. ((see NOTE below) ;						
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter. (see Note	below);								
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or	simplifying the						
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected cla	nims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			1						
4. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):								
5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	I be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely fil	ed amendment						
6. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does N	IOT place the						
7. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which v	vere newly						
8.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s)	is as follows (see attached writte	en explanation, if	any):						
Claim(s) allowed:			- 4						
Claim(s) objected to:			; ;						
Claim(s) rejected: paper No. 18.			i de la companya de l						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			\$ 2						
9. The proposed drawing correction filed on	a)∏has b)∏ has not been appr	roved by the Exar	miner.						
10. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·							
11. Other:			ाँ :						
			•						





Continuation of 3. NOTE: claims presented with new (four times) amendment, however, a mark-up copy is not provided. Therefore, the amendment fails to comply with the applicable rule.

Olik Chaudhuri Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800